Learning Koopman Invariant Subspaces for Dynamic Mode Decomposition

Naoya Takeishi (The University of Tokyo), Yoshinobu Kawahara (Osaka University / RIKEN AIP), Takehisa Yairi (The University of Tokyo)

IBIS 2018 in Tokyo, November 2017

To appear in NIPS 2017. preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.04340 Background

Motivation: Analysis of Dynamical Systems





- In physics, biology, etc., a wide variety of complex phenomena are described in terms of **dynamical systems**.
- In machine learning, **state space models** are utilized classically.

$$oldsymbol{x}_{t+1} = oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}_t), \quad oldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{M} ext{ (state space)}$$

 \odot Challenging to know (a part of) properties of nonlinear f.

Background: Operator-theoretic view of nonlinear dynamics



 \cdot Fundamental idea: Lift nonlinear system to linear regime by \mathcal{K} .

Definition (Koopman operator [Koopman '31, Mezić '05]) Let $g : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an observable. Koopman operator \mathcal{K} is an infinite dimensional linear operator that describes time-evolution of g, i.e., $g(f(x)) = \mathcal{K}g(x), \quad g \in \mathcal{G}$ (function space)

 \bigcirc Benefit: control, modal decomposition (\rightarrow next page), etc.

Modal decomposition based on spectra of $\ensuremath{\mathcal{K}}$

• Consider eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions φ of \mathcal{K} :

$$\mathcal{K}[\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x})] = egin{array}{c} \lambda_i & \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}) & ext{for} & i=1,2,\ldots,\infty. \end{array}$$

- \cdot For simplicity, assume ${\cal K}$ has only discrete spectrum (eigenvalues).
- Project $g(\cdot)$ to $\operatorname{span}\{\varphi_1(\cdot),\varphi_2(\cdot),\dots\} \to \mathsf{Koopman modes}$

$$g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \boldsymbol{v_i}$$

• Applying \mathcal{K} to both sides for t times, we have $g(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$'s decomposition into quasi-periodic modes (Koopman mode decomposition, KMD):

$$g(x_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^t \underbrace{\varphi_i(x_0)v_i}_{w_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} |\lambda_i| & \text{decay rate of } w_i, \\ \angle \lambda_i & \text{frequency of } w_i. \end{cases}$$

 fluid mechanics [many], robotics [Berger+ '15], neuroscience [Brunton+ '16], epidemiology [Proctor&Eckhoff '15], finance [Mann&Kutz '16], medical care [Bourantas+ '16], building systems [Georgescu+ '12], power systems [Raak+ '16, Susuki+ '16], image processing [Kutz+ '16], control [Mauroy&Goncalves '16], etc.

- KMD can be computed using **dynamic mode decomposition (DMD)** [Rowley+ '09, Schmid 10, etc.] if the assumption below is satisfied.
 - DMD just computes eigendecomposition of A, where $y_{t+1} \approx Ay_t$.
 - ► **A**'s eigvalues and eigvectors converge to *K*'s eigvalues and modes.

Assumption (Dataset from \mathcal{K} -invariant subspace [Budišić+ '12]) Time-series data $(\boldsymbol{y}_0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_m)$ are generated by $\forall t, \ \boldsymbol{y}_t = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ where $\boldsymbol{g} = [g_1 \ \cdots \ g_n]^T$, and $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ spans a subspace that is invariant to \mathcal{K} , i.e., $\exists G \subset \mathcal{G}$ s.t. $\forall g \in G, \ \mathcal{K}g \in G$ and $\operatorname{span}\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\} = G$.

© Of course, this assumption is not satisfied generally.

Learning Koopman Invariant Subspaces from Data

- "Data from $\mathcal K\text{-invariant subspace"}$ is not trivial $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$
- Previous approaches:
 - ► forget it when data is high-dimensional (e.g. CFD)
 - ► transform data by nonlinear basis functions [Williams+ '15]
 - ► define KMD for observables in RKHS [Kawahara '16]
- \cdot Our approach: Learn a $\mathcal K\text{-invariant}$ subspace using data.

Theorem (*K*-invariant subspace)

A set of observables $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ spans a \mathcal{K} -invariant subspace if and only if $\boldsymbol{g} = [g_1 \cdots g_n]^T$ and $\boldsymbol{g} \circ \boldsymbol{f}$ are linearly dependent.

• Main issue: Learn g that makes g and $g \circ f$ linearly dependent. \rightarrow Minimize residual sum of squares of linear LS model:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ extsf{RSS}}(m{g};m{x}_{0:m}) &= \|m{Y}_1 - (m{Y}_1m{Y}_0^\dagger)m{Y}_0\|_{ extsf{F}}^2, \ m{Y}_0 &= [m{g}(m{x}_0) \ \cdots \ m{g}(m{x}_{m-1})], \ m{Y}_1 &= [m{g}(m{x}_1) \ \cdots \ m{g}(m{x}_m)] \end{aligned}$$

- And minor modifications to:
 - Estimate x_t from $y_{t-k+1:t}$ (delay-coordinate embedding [Takens '81]).
 - \cdot because $oldsymbol{y}$ is often rank-deficient
 - Prevent trivial $m{g}$ by adding a term to recover $m{y}$ from the values of $m{g}$.

Solution: Implementation

 $\cdot\,$ The loss function for learning $\mathcal K\text{-invariant}$ subspace:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}; \ \boldsymbol{y}) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{RSS}}(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{g}; \ \boldsymbol{y}) + \alpha \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}}(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}; \ \boldsymbol{y})}_{\sum_{t} \|\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{g}_t) - \boldsymbol{y}_t\|_2^2}$$

- Modeling with multilayer perceptrons for each of
 - ϕ : estimates x_t from $y_{t-k+1:t}$ (delay-coordinate embedder)
 - ▶ *g* :spans *K*-invariant subspace
 - ► *h*: recovers *y* from values of *g* (to prevent trivial solution)



Experiment example

• Generate data from a toy system ($\lambda = 0.9, \ \mu = 0.5$):

$$m{x}_{t+1} = m{f}(m{x}) = \begin{cases} \lambda x_{1,t}, \\ \mu x_{2,t} + (\lambda^2 - \mu) x_{1,t}^2 \end{cases}$$

- K-invariant subspace of this system is span{x₁, x₂, x₁²}, and the corresponding eigenvalues are λ, μ, λ² (and λⁱμ^j).
- Want to estimate the Koopman eigenvalues;
 - Proposed method (LKIS) successes even with noise in data.
 - Nonlinear basis function (using $\{x_1^2\}$) fails with noise.





Application example: unstable phenomena detection

- The Koopman eigenfunction with small $|\lambda|$ corresponds to a rapidly-decaying component.
 - ► Watching such eigenfunction, we can detect unstable phenomena.
- Example: laser pulsation data [Santa Fe Time-Series Dataset A]
 - ► Plotting learned eigfun. with small |λ|, we see the peaks are corresponding to the rapid change of amplitude.
 - ► Change-point detection algorithms output similar results.



Conclusion

Summary

Koopman analysis

- lifts analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems to linear regime by defining Koopman operator.
- Benefit: modal decomposition, control, etc.

Dynamic mode decomposition

• can approximate KMD if data is generated with observables that span \mathcal{K} -inv. subspace.

In this work, we learn $\mathcal K\text{-}\text{inv.}$ subspace from data

• RSS minimization with neural networks

Will be presenting at Poster D1-1 tomorrow! preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.04340 implementation: https://github.com/thetak11/learning-kis